

Spin Filtering in Storage Rings: Scattering within the Beam, and the FILTEX results

Kolya Nikolaev

Institut f. Kernphysik, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany
L.D.Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, 142432 Chernogolovka,
Russia

Outline:

- Spin filtering & scattering within the beam: a quantum-mechanical evolution of spin-density matrix
- Why the spin-filtering on polarized electrons cancels out?
- Comparison with the kinetic equation approach of Milstein & Strakhovenko
- Interpretation of the FILTEX findings: one minor, but important, conceptual correction to Meyer's analysis
- Implications for spin-filtering of antiprotons in PAX FAIR

PAX wants polarized antiprotons at FAIR

- Tons of top class QCD: FAIR as a unique successor of DIS physics
- Get polarized antiprotons uniquely by spin filtering: **need a scrutiny of the FILTEX result**
- The textbook optics: **optical polarizer absorbs the "wrong" polarization.**
- Spin filtering of neutrons in polarized He^3 - a popular source of polarized neutrons.
- Internal atomic polarized $H \uparrow$ and $D \uparrow$ cell targets - a **unique choice for a polarizer.**
- Polarized $atom \uparrow = proton \uparrow (deuteron \uparrow) + electron \uparrow$. **Impact of electrons?**
- **Electron-to-proton polarization transfer (Akhiezer et al, 50's):** QED, is routinely used at MAMI, Bates, Jlab for G_E/G_M
- **H.O.Meyer's question:** what **scattering within the beam** does to filtering?

The transmission and scattering

- Why is the sky that blue? It is exclusively the scattered light!
- Why is the setting sun so reddish? It is exclusively the transmitted light!
- Why the sun changes its color? Transmission changes the unscattered light!
- Optical filtering: with rare exceptions one only deals with the transmitted light.
- Unique feature of storage rings: a mixing of the transmitted and scattered beam
- The technical description by the polarization dependent refraction index

$$n = 1 + \frac{2\pi}{p^2} N \hat{f}(o)$$

- The forward NN scattering amplitude $\hat{f}(o)$ depends on the beam and target spins
- Polarized target is an optically active medium!

The kinematics of p-atom scattering in storage ring

- Screening of $e&p$ Coulomb fields beyond the Bohr radius a_B : **incoherent** quasielastic (E) scattering off protons and electrons at

$$\theta \gtrsim \theta_{min} = \frac{\alpha_{em} m_e}{\sqrt{2m_p T_p}} \implies d\sigma_E = d\sigma_{el}^p + d\sigma_{el}^e$$

- Light electron do not to deflect heavy protons (Horowitz& Meyer):
 $\theta \leq \theta_e = m_e/m_p$

- Dominant Coulomb pp scattering up to

$$\theta \lesssim \theta_{Coulomb} \approx \sqrt{2\pi\alpha_{em}/m_p T_p \sigma_{tot,nucl}^{pp}} \approx 100\text{mrad}$$

- FILTEX ring acceptance $\theta_{acc} = 4.4 \text{ mrad}$.

- Strong inequality $\theta_{min} \ll \theta_e \ll \theta_{acc} \ll \theta_{Coulomb}$

- The corollaries: (i) **pe scattering entirely within the stored beam**, (ii) Beam losses dominated by Coulomb pp scattering.

Do we care about electrons in the hydrogen target?

● Beam attenuation: $\hat{\sigma}_{tot}(p - atom) \equiv \hat{\sigma}_{tot}^{pp} + \hat{\sigma}_{tot}^{pe}$.

● The pe X-section is gigantic:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{tot}^{pe} = \hat{\sigma}_{el}^e(> \theta_{\min}) \approx 4\pi\alpha_{em}^2 a_B^2 \approx 2 \cdot 10^4 \text{ Barn}$$

How do we extract $\sigma_{tot,nucl}^{pp} \sim 40 \text{ mb}$ on top of such a background?

● $\theta \leq \theta_e \ll$ angular divergence of any beam, pe scattering is **entirely within the beam** and **does not cause any attenuation!**

● **Skrinsky's question (2004, unpublished):** shall the spin filtering by $e \uparrow$ be observable?

● **Milstein & Strakhovenko (2005): electrons wouldn't work!** (independent & simultaneous observation by NNN & F.Pavlov within a very different formalism).

● **Getting rid of Coulomb pp scattering in $\sigma_{tot,nucl}^{pp}$:**

(i) measure transmitted beam intensity with acceptance $> \theta_{Coulomb}$,

(ii) extrapolate to zero acceptance angle.

Transmission vs. Scattering within the Ring

- Polarization of the transmitted beam: propagates at **ZERO** scattering angle, gets polarized by absorption & **elastic scattering out of the beam**
- Lost & found polarization of scattered particles.
- Pertinent features of spin filtering in storage rings (the poor theorists notion):
 - (i) ultra-thin target,
 - (ii) $\theta \geq \theta_{acc}$: scattering out of the beam pipe,
 - (iii) ring optics (betatron oscillations & focusing & defocusing & electron cooling & ...): transverse momentum p gets randomized between consecutive interactions with the target,
 - (iv) angular divergence of the beam at the target $\ll \theta_{acc}$.
- **The appropriate quantum-mechanical approach: the evolution equation for the spin-density matrix of the stored beam**

The In-Medium Evolution of Transmitted Beam

- Time = distance z traversed in the medium.

$$\text{Fermi Hamiltonian} = \hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} N \hat{F}(0) = \frac{1}{2} N [\hat{R}(0) + i \hat{\sigma}_{tot}]$$

N = density of atoms in the target.

- The density matrix of the stored beam

$$\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} [I_0(\mathbf{p}) + \sigma s(\mathbf{p})]$$

- Textbook **quantum-mechanical** evolution for pure transmission ($\theta_{acc} \rightarrow 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) = i[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p})] &= \underbrace{i \frac{1}{2} N (\hat{R} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) - \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) \hat{R})}_{\text{Real potential=Pure refraction}} \\ &- \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} N (\hat{\sigma}_{tot} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) + \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) \hat{\sigma}_{tot})}_{\text{(Imaginary potential=Pure attenuation)}} \end{aligned}$$

Evolution of Transmitted Beam Cont'd

- Spin dependence:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{tot} = \sigma_0 + \underbrace{\sigma_1(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) + \sigma_2(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k})(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{k})}_{\text{spin-sensitive loss}},$$

$$\hat{R} = R_0 + \underbrace{R_1(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{Q}) + R_2(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k})(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{k})}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \text{Pseudomagnetic field}}$$

k = beam axis, Q = target polarization.

- Evolution of the beam polarization $P = s/l_0$

$$\begin{aligned} dP/dz = & \underbrace{-N\sigma_1(Q - (P \cdot Q)P) - N\sigma_2(Qk)(k - (P \cdot k)P)}_{\text{(Polarization buildup by spin-sensitive loss)}} \\ & + \underbrace{NR_1(P \times Q) + nR_2(Qk)(P \times k)}_{\text{(Spin precession in pseudomagnetic field)}} \end{aligned}$$

- Precession is missed in Milstein-Strakhovenko kinetic equation.
- Still equivalence to the evolution of the density matrix upon averaging over precessions.

The polarization buildup

- Coupled evolution equations for pure transmission

$$\frac{d}{dz} \begin{pmatrix} I_0 \\ s \end{pmatrix} = -N \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0(> \theta_{\min}) & Q\sigma_1(> \theta_{\min}) \\ Q\sigma_1(> \theta_{\min}) & \sigma_0(> \theta_{\text{acc}}) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I_0 \\ s \end{pmatrix},$$

- Solutions

$$\propto \exp(-\lambda_{1,2} Nz)$$

with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \sigma_0 \pm Q\sigma_1$$

- Reduction to Meyer's equation for pure transverse polarizations:

$$\frac{dP}{dz} = -N\sigma_1 Q(1 - P^2)$$

$$P(z) = -\tanh(Q\sigma_1 Nz)$$

- Any spin-dependent loss filters spin of the stored beam.

Scattering within the and Spin Filtering

- Quasielastic (E) $p + atom \rightarrow p'_{scatt} + e + p_{recoil}$, $q =$ momentum transfer:

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_E}{d^2q} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{q}) \hat{\rho} \hat{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_e(\mathbf{q}) \hat{\rho} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_e^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_p(\mathbf{q}) \hat{\rho} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_p^\dagger(\mathbf{q})$$

- Lost and found: scattering within the beam at $\theta \leq \theta_{acc}$
- Formal derivation from multiple-scattering theory: unitarity (loss-recovery balance) is satisfied rigorously.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \hat{\rho} = i[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] &= \underbrace{i \frac{1}{2} N \left(\hat{R} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) - \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) \hat{R} \right)}_{\text{Ignore this precession}} \\ &- \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} N \left(\hat{\sigma}_{tot} \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) + \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) \hat{\sigma}_{tot} \right)}_{\text{Evolution by loss}} \\ &+ \underbrace{N \int^{\Omega_{acc}} \frac{d^2q}{(4\pi)^2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{q}) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) \hat{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger(\mathbf{q})}_{\text{Lost and found: scattering within the beam}} \end{aligned}$$

Needle-Sharp Scattering off Electrons: $\theta_e \ll \theta_{acc}$

- Pure into-the-beam scattering
- Breit pe interaction (1929): Coulomb + hyperfine + tensor + negligible spin-orbit

$$U(\mathbf{q}) = \alpha_{em} \left\{ \frac{1}{q^2} + \mu_p \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \mathbf{q})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e \mathbf{q}) - (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \boldsymbol{\sigma}_e q^2)}{4m_p m_e q^2} \right\}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{tot}^e = \underbrace{\sigma_0^e}_{Coulomb} + \underbrace{\sigma_1^e(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \cdot \mathbf{Q}_e) + \sigma_2^e(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \cdot \mathbf{k})(\mathbf{Q}_e \cdot \mathbf{k})}_{Coulomb \times (Hyperfine + Tensor)}$$

- Horowitz-Meyer (1994): substantial transfer of polarization to scattered protons!
- Polarization of scattered protons P_f (transverse case):

$$\sigma_0^e P_f = \sigma_0^e P + \sigma_1^e Q_e$$

- clearcut electron-to-proton spin transfer (Akhiezer,...,Horowitz-Meyer)
- absolutely negligible spin-flip (Milstein-Strakhovenko)

Skrinsky: do electrons polarize (anti)protons?

- Electron contribution to the transmission

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dz} I_0(\mathbf{p})(1 + \sigma \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{p})) = -\frac{1}{2} N I_0(\mathbf{p}) \left[\underbrace{\sigma_0^e + \sigma_1^e \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_e}_{\text{particle number loss}} + \sigma \underbrace{(\sigma_0^e \mathbf{P} + \sigma_1^e \mathbf{Q}_e)}_{\text{selective spin loss}} \right]$$

- Lost & found (precession-averaged) from scattering within the beam :

$$\begin{aligned} & N \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{q}}{(4\pi)^2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_e(\mathbf{q}) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) \hat{\mathcal{F}}_e^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} N I_0(\mathbf{p}) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{q}}{(4\pi)^2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_e(\mathbf{q}) \hat{\mathcal{F}}_e^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2} N s(\mathbf{p}) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{q}}{(4\pi)^2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_e(\mathbf{q}) \sigma \hat{\mathcal{F}}_e^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} N I_0(\mathbf{p}) [\sigma_0^e + \sigma_1^e (\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Q})]}_{\text{Lost\&found particle number}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} N I_0(\mathbf{p}) \sigma [\sigma_0^e \mathbf{P} + \sigma_1^e \mathbf{Q}_e]}_{\text{Lost\&found spin}} \end{aligned}$$

- $\hat{\sigma}_{tot} \equiv \hat{\sigma}_{abs}^p + \hat{\sigma}_{el}^p(> \theta_{min}) + \hat{\sigma}_{el}^e(> \theta_{min}) \implies \hat{\sigma}_{tot} - \hat{\sigma}_{el}^e(> \theta_{min}) = \hat{\sigma}_{abs}^p + \hat{\sigma}_{el}^p(> \theta_{min})$.

- Skrinsky' concern was well taken: electrons in the target are invisible!

Nuclear pp Scattering within the Beam

Decompose pure transmission losses (transverse polarization)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \hat{\rho} = & \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2} N \left(\hat{\sigma}_{tot}(> \theta_{acc}) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) + \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p}) \hat{\sigma}_{tot}(> \theta_{acc}) \right)}_{\text{Unrecoverable transmission loss}} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} N I_0(\mathbf{p}) \left[\underbrace{\sigma_0^{el}(< \theta_{acc}) + \sigma_1^{el}(< \theta_{acc}) P Q}_{\text{Potentially recoverable particle loss}} + \underbrace{\sigma \left(\sigma_0^{el}(< \theta_{acc}) P + \sigma_1^{el}(< \theta_{acc}) Q \right)}_{\text{Potentially recoverable spin loss}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Angular divergence of the beam at target $\ll \theta_{acc}$: integrate over \mathbf{p}

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^2 \mathbf{p} \int^{\Omega_{acc}} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{q}}{(4\pi)^2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{q}) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) \hat{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) = \\ \left[\int d^2 \mathbf{p} I_0(\mathbf{p}) \right] \cdot \int^{\Omega_{acc}} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{q}}{(4\pi)^2} \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{q}) \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sigma P) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) \hat{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) = \hat{\sigma}^E(\leq \theta_{acc}) \cdot \int d^2 \mathbf{p} I_0(\mathbf{p}) \end{aligned}$$

The **mismatch** of the loss and recovery

$$\Delta \hat{\sigma} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\hat{\sigma}_{el}(< \theta_{acc}) (1 + \sigma P) + (1 + \sigma P) \hat{\sigma}_{el}(< \theta_{acc}) \right) - \hat{\sigma}^E(\leq \theta_{acc})$$

Precession averaged scattering within the ring

$$\hat{\sigma}^E(\leq \theta_{\text{acc}}) = \underbrace{\sigma_0^{el}(\leq \theta_{\text{acc}}) + \sigma_1^{el}(\leq \theta_{\text{acc}})(P \cdot Q)}_{\text{Lost \& found particles}} + \underbrace{\sigma \cdot \left(\sigma_0^E(\leq \theta_{\text{acc}})P + \sigma_1^E(\leq \theta_{\text{acc}})Q \right)}_{\text{Lost \& found spin}}$$

The mismatch X-section operator

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \hat{\sigma} &= \underbrace{\sigma_0^{el}(< \theta_{\text{acc}}) + \sigma_1^{el}(< \theta_{\text{acc}})PQ_e}_{\text{Potentially recoverable particle loss}} + \underbrace{\sigma \left(\sigma_0^{el}(< \theta_{\text{acc}})P + \sigma_1^{el}(< \theta_{\text{acc}})Q_e \right)}_{\text{Potentially recoverable spin loss}} \\ &- \underbrace{\sigma_0^{el}(\leq \theta_{\text{acc}}) + \sigma_1^{el}(\leq \theta_{\text{acc}})(P \cdot Q)}_{\text{Lost \& found particles}} - \underbrace{\sigma \cdot \left(\sigma_0^E(\leq \theta_{\text{acc}})P + \sigma_1^E(\leq \theta_{\text{acc}})Q \right)}_{\text{Lost \& found spin}} \\ &= \sigma \left(2\Delta\sigma_0 P + \Delta\sigma_1 Q \right) \end{aligned}$$

Mismatch cont'd

- $\Delta\sigma_{0,1}$: a mismatch between the spin of the beam taken away by the scattered particle and the lost & found spin put back by after the particle scatters within the beam (spin-flip=

$$\sigma_1^{el}(> \theta_{acc}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\theta_{acc}} d\Omega \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right) (A_{00nn} + A_{00ss})$$

$$\Delta\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\sigma_0^{el}(\leq \theta_{acc}) - \sigma_0^E(\leq \theta_{acc})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\theta_{min}}^{\theta_{acc}} d\Omega \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} D_{n0n0} - \frac{1}{2} D_{s'0s0} \cos(\theta_{lab}) \right)$$

$$\Delta\sigma_1 = \sigma_1^{el}(\leq \theta_{acc}) - \sigma_1^E(\leq \theta_{acc})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\theta_{min}}^{\theta_{acc}} d\Omega \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \left(A_{00nn} + A_{00ss} - K_{n00n} - K_{s'00s} \cos(\theta_{lab}) \right)$$

- The SAID menagerie:

$$A_{00nn} = A_{yy}, A_{00ss} = A_{xx}, K_{n00n} = D_t, D_{s'0s0} = R, D_{n0n0} = D, K_{s'00s} = -R'_t.$$

- Milstein & Strakhovenko relate $\Delta\sigma_{0,1}$ to spin-flip scattering.

Polarization Buildup

- Coupled evolution equations after into-the-beam scattering

$$\frac{d}{dz} \begin{pmatrix} I_0 \\ s \end{pmatrix} = -n \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0(> \theta_{\text{acc}}) & Q\sigma_1(> \theta_{\text{acc}}) \\ Q(\sigma_1(> \theta_{\text{acc}}) + \Delta\sigma_1) & \sigma_0(> \theta_{\text{acc}}) + 2\Delta\sigma_0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I_0 \\ s \end{pmatrix},$$

- Solutions $\propto \exp(-\lambda_{1,2} Nz)$ with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \sigma_0 + \Delta\sigma_0 \pm \sigma_3, \quad Q\sigma_3 = \sqrt{Q^2\sigma_1(\sigma_1 + \Delta\sigma_1) + \Delta\sigma_0^2},$$

- The polarization buildup (also Milstein&Strakhovenko)

$$P(z) = -\frac{Q(\sigma_1 + \Delta\sigma_1) \tanh(Q\sigma_3 Nz)}{Q\sigma_3 + \Delta\sigma_0 \tanh(Q\sigma_3 Nz)}$$

- The effective small-time polarization cross section

$$\sigma_P \approx -Q(\sigma_1 + \Delta\sigma_1)$$

Spin Deep under the Coulomb peak

- Pure nuclear scattering into $\theta \leq \theta_{acc} = 4.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ is entirely negligible.
- "Abnormal" $\theta_{acc} \ll \theta_{Coulomb}$: deep under the Coulomb peak, **entirely inaccessible in scattering experiments**, important for storage rings. **Need extrapolations of hadronic amplitudes.**
- Pauli principle \implies double-spin dependence from exchange interaction

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{Coulomb} &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}(\theta) + \frac{1}{4}(1 + \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)\mathcal{F}(\pi - \theta) \\ &= \underbrace{\mathcal{F}_0(\theta)}_{\text{Coulomb singularity } 1/\theta^2} + \underbrace{\mathcal{F}_1(\theta)}_{\text{Constant}} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2\end{aligned}$$

- Add Breit and nuclear spin-spin interactions $\star 1/\theta^2$ enhancement makes interference $\propto \mathcal{F}_0(\theta)\mathcal{F}_1(\theta)$ substantial.
- Upon azimuthal integrations **spin-flips don't interfere** with the dominant $\mathcal{F}_0(\theta)$: negligible small $\Delta\sigma_{0,1}$

FILTEX according to Meyer-Horowitz:

- The FILTEX as published in 1993: $\sigma_P = 63 \pm 3(stat.) \text{ mb}$, a 20σ measurement!
- Better understanding of target density & polarization (F.Rathmann, PhD):
 $\sigma_P = 72.5 \pm 5.8(stat. + sys.) (stat.)$
- The expectation from filtering by pure nuclear scattering: $\sigma_{P,expected} = 122 \text{ mb}$.
- H.O. Meyer: correct σ_P for scattering within the beam. Strong CNI, Meyer's reevaluation $\sigma_1(> \theta_{acc}) = 83 \text{ mb}$ (SAID of 94) instead of 122 mb
- Add scattering within the beam off polarized electrons: $\delta\sigma_1^{ep} = -70 \text{ mb}$
- Add scattering within the beam off polarized protons: $\delta\sigma_1^{ep} = +52 \text{ mb}$
- Net result: $\sigma_P = 65 \text{ mb}$. Good but accidental agreement with FILTEX!
- What went wrong: : Double counting, Meyer should have started with loss from $\theta > \theta_{min}$, and then add scattering within the beam. Still, Meyer asked right questions and was infinitesimally close to the correct answer!

FILTEX and scattering within the ring

- NNN-Pavlov: SAID-SP05 for **filtering by loss**: $\sigma_1(> \theta_{acc}) = -85.6$ (only marginal changes from SAID to Nijmegen databases).
- Spin deep under the Coulomb peak:

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \underbrace{\mathcal{F}_0(\theta)}_{\text{Coulomb} \propto 1/\theta^2} + \underbrace{\mathcal{F}_1(\theta)}_{\text{Breit+Nuclear}} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + (\text{other two} - \text{spin terms})$$

- Treatment is identical to that of the Breit proton-electron interaction.
- Careful extrapolations under the Coulomb peak
- Scattering within the beam cancels filtering by transmission losses:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{tot} \equiv \hat{\sigma}_{abs}^p + \hat{\sigma}_{el}^p(> \theta_{min}) \implies \hat{\sigma}_{tot} - \hat{\sigma}_{el}^p(\theta_{min} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{acc}) = \hat{\sigma}_{abs}^p + \hat{\sigma}_{el}^p(> \theta_{acc}).$$

- Nonrelativistic heavy particles love retaining their spin: very small mismatch X-section

$$\Delta\sigma_1 \approx -6 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ mb}$$

- Full agreement with Milstein & Strakhovenko result in terms of the spin-flip X-section.

Conclusions: what is the future for PAX?

- FILTEX: an important proof of the principle of spin filtering.
- A consensus between theorists (Budker Institute & IKP FZJ): Polarized electrons in polarized atoms wouldn't polarize antiprotons in storage rings.
- H.O. Meyer: scattering within the beam + CNI reduce the expected $\sigma_P = 122 \text{ mb}$ down to $\sigma_P = 85.6 \text{ mb}$ (SAID-SP05).
- Still slight disagreement between experiment $\sigma_P = 72.5 \pm 5.8(\text{stat.} + \text{sys.})$ (FILTEX) and theory, $\sigma_P = 85.6 \text{ mb}$ (Meyer & Budker Institute & IKP FZJ).
- Solution for PAX: spin filtering by nuclear antiproton-proton interaction. Must be optimized with existing antiprotons.
- $N\bar{N}$ models are encouraging, but unreliable.
- Meyer-Horowitz vs. Budker-Juelich: hydrogen and deuterium targets with longitudinally polarized beams at COSY.